Reims Cessna F406 Caravan II, G-BVJT

AAIB Bulletin No: 2/98 Ref: EW/G97/10/20Category: 1.2

Aircraft Type and Registration: Reims Cessna F406 Caravan II, G-BVJT

No & Type of Engines: 2 Pratt & Whitney Canada PT6A-112 turboprop engines

Year of Manufacture: 1994

Date & Time (UTC): 10 October 1997 at 0850 hrs

Location: 5 nm south of Shoreham, West Sussex

Type of Flight: Private

Persons on Board: Crew - 1 - Passengers - 2

Injuries: Crew - None - Passengers - None

Nature of Damage: Damage to crew door and fuselage crown

Commander's Licence: Airline Transport Pilot's Licence

Commander's Age: 42 years

Commander's Flying Experience: 2,709 hours (of which 88 were on type)

Last 90 days - 71 hours

Last 28 days - 22 hours

Information Source: Aircraft Accident Report Form submitted by the pilot,

examination of aircraft by the AAIB

The aircraft was manoeuvring between 4,000 and 5,000 feetalong the South Coast between Seaford and Shoreham. The flightwas uneventful until, in level flight at 5,000 feet and about 160 kt, the crew door opened. The pilot immediately reduced speed and started a descending turn towards the coast. It appeared that the door had departed from the aircraft and a passenger was despatched to the rear of the cabin to see whether there was any damage to the control surfaces. There did not appear to be any further damage and the flight continued back to the airfield, where the pilot was able to make a normal approach and landing.

During the landing roll the pilot noticed the aircraft pullingnoticeably to the left and after the aircraft stopped it was foundthat the door had not, in fact, left the aircraft but was lyingacross the crown of the fuselage, still attached at its two hingesalong the upper portion of the frame. It was apparent from thedamage to the door itself, the fuselage crown and the damage aroundthe hinges

that the door's contact with the crown had been violent. The gas strut, which operates between the door and the apertureframe, had been torn off and the hinge points on the door framehad been forced upwards.

The crew door in the F406 is very similar to the design in theCessna 404 Titan and is an upward-opening transparency to the left of the pilot's seat. The door is normally secured by two latches engaging latch plates in the lower portion of the door frame and these two latches are moved through a simple 'over-centre'linkage by a 'D' handle on the interior of the door and an exteriorhandle which normally lies flush with the door. A strong springacts to move the linkage from its neutral ('on centres') position to either the latched or unlatched position. The forward of the two latch plates is equipped with a microswitch connected to acaption on the Central Warning Panel (CWP) and to an 'attention-getter'light. In addition to this linkage there is also a secondarylock, whereby a simple latch, mounted on a pivot forward of theaperture, rotates aft into a slot in the forward edge of the door. This secondary lock is operated by a small lever inside the cockpitbut cannot be operated from outside the aircraft without a flat-headedscrewdriver or similar tool.

As this aircraft was not being used for bulk cargo, the crew doorwould not normally be used and the crews were aware that, in anemergency situation, the secondary lock would make the crew dooralmost impossible to open from the outside. The aircraft was, therefore, generally operated without the secondary lock engaged.

After the accident, the door was reportedly found with the two latches in their fully extended positions and the door handles(interior and exterior) in the CLOSED position. Examination of the door showed the latch system to be intact and properly rigged and there was no damage to the latch plates in the aperture frame, nor to the secondary lock. The structural damage to the doorwas entirely consistent with the loads imposed by slamming onto the fuselage crown and there was no evidence of any warping of the door out of the plane of the frame.

The strong spring in the latching mechanism ensures that the linkagemay move readily from its neutral (that is, 'on centres') position to the unlatched position. Trials of the replacement crew doorin GBVJT after its repair showed that, with this design, it was difficult to achieve a positive latching of the door andthat the 'D' handle on the interior of the door could lie in ahorizontal, apparently locked, position while the linkage wasactually neutral ('on centres'). In this neutral position theforward latch would still be fully engaged with its latch plateand the caption on the CWP would not illuminate. It appears mostlikely that the door then became unlatched through inadvertentmovement or vibration and, with the secondary lock not engaged, the door opened. This would be consistent with the pilot's commentthat there had been no prior indication of a door problem on the CWP or elsewhere.